

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/704,028	11/01/2000	Gary G. Lenihan	060545/0456	2436
7590 04/20/2004			EXAMINER	
DON W. BULSON, ESQ.			MILLER, BENA B	
RENNER, OT	TO, BOISSELLE & SKLA	.R		
1621 EUCLID	AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
19 TH STREE	Τ		3712	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
CLEVELAND	, OH 44115			

DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/704,028	LENIHAN, GARY G.			
		Examiner	Art Unit	_		
		Bena Miller	3712			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a in. In a reply within the statutory minimum of thire riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	,				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.				
3)□						
	closed in accordance with the practice und	ier <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-3,6,10-14,16,17 and 21</u> is/are p	ending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10</u> is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-3,6,11-14,16,17 and 21</u> is/are re	ejected.				
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	M. I. C Same of				
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	nd/or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.				
10) 🔲	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. {	} 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage			
* ~	application from the International Bu					
[*] S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a	i list of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment	` ,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Dratisperson's Fatent Drawing Review (F10-946 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE · No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
rapei	140(3/11/10) Date		<u> </u>			

Application/Control Number: 09/704,028

Art Unit: 3712

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 11 is vague and indefinite because the claim recites "the island"; however, claim 1 recites a toy kitchen in the preamble of the claim. The specific structure of the claim intended to be encompassed is not clear. In this Office Action, the examiner considers the claim to recite only intended use of the claimed apparatus. Further, claim 11, depends from itself.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 6, 11-14, 16 17 and 21 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Klein.

Regarding claims 1 and 21, Klein teaches in figures 1-11 a toy kitchen having a main unit (marked copy fig. 9 and fig. 10 and 11), a repositionable island removably coupled to the front surface of the main unit (marked copy fig. 9; note, element figure 5

Application/Control Number: 09/704,028

Art Unit: 3712

couples the repostionable island to the front surface of the main unit) and the repositionable island is perpendicular to the front surface of the main unit (marked copy figure 9). It should be noted that applicant did not define a front surface in reference to the main unit.

Regarding claim 2, Klein further teaches the repostionable island removably coupled to the second side wall in figure 11.

Regarding claim 3, Klein further teaches a stove top main unit and a countertop island in figure 9.

Regarding claim 6, as best as understood, Klein further teaches the island is removably connected to the left side of the main unit in figure 11.

Regarding claim 11, as best as understood, Klein further teaches a stove top in figure 9.

Regarding claim 12, Klein teaches in figures 1-11 a toy kitchen having a first unit extending along a first vertical longitudinal plane (fig. 9), at least one removable an and repositionable second unit extending along a second vertical longitudinal plane and position perpendicular (fig. 9), the second unit is removably connected to the front surface of the first unit (fig.9) in a first position and in a second position the first and second longitudinal planes are substantially coplanar (fig. 11).

Regarding claim 13, Klein further teaches the first and second play areas are partially bounded by one side of the first unit and second unit (marked copy fig. 9) and the second position defines a continuous play area extending alone one side of the first and second units in figure 11.

Application/Control Number: 09/704,028

Art Unit: 3712

Regarding claim 14, Klein further teaches a main unit and an island in figures 9 and 11.

Regarding claim 16, Klein further teaches a stove top first unit and a cabinet second unit in figures 9 and 11.

Regarding claim 17, Klein further teaches the first unit removably positioned to the second unit in a first position as seen in figures 9 and 11.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 9 is allowable.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 03/09/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's arguments that Klein fails to teach the repositionable island extends outwardly from the front surface of the main unit and the repositionable island is positioned perpendicular to the main unit. It should be noted applicant fails to define a front surface of the main unit; therefore, the examiner has defined the front surface of the main unit disclosed in the marked up copy figure 9 of Klein.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "the second unit not extending outwardly from the main unit so that it is oriented perpendicular to the front surface of the main unit) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from

Art Unit: 3712

the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

In response to applicant's argument that a child would not be able to use the components of the main unit of Klein patent if the module are place in front of the components of the main unit, the examiner disagrees. As noted above, applicant fails to define the front surface of the main unit; therefore, the front surface, as defined by the examiner, in the Klein reference meets the limitation of the claimed invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bena Miller whose telephone number is 703.305.0643. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bena Miller Examiner

Art Unit 3712

bbm April 16,2004